

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 1252 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,817	12/22/2000	Rommel C. Lumauig	ACSC 60133 (2109)	4301
7590 12/31/2003			EXAMINER	
	. HANKE, ESQ.	PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			ART ORT	TATER NUMBER
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR			3738	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90045			

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·····	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/747,817	LUMAUIG, ROMMEL C.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E Pellegrino	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	ctober 2003.	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-16 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/747,817 ...

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/3/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,2,10-12,15,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burns (5569201). Fig. 1B shows a catheter with an outer tubular member 14 and an inner tubular member 18 and an inflatable balloon 20 on the distal shaft. Fig. 4A shows the inner tubular member receives a guidewire 22 and is bonded to the inner surface of the outer tubular member via bonds 46. Burns discloses the tubes or bonding means are about 1mm, col. 6, lines 31,32 and see Fig. 4B. The balloon is fully capable of being configured for a deployable device. It can be seen (Fig. 4A) that there are opposing or equal distance bonded sections.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,817

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns '201. Burns is explained as before. However, Burns does not disclose the secured portions about 2-4mm in length or a radial dimension ranging from 0.5-3mm or spacing the secured portions from the balloon at about 3mm. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the longitudinal dimension of the secured portions or radial dimension, since applicant has not disclosed that using a length about 3mm or radial dimension from 0.5-3mm provides any advantage, or solves a stated problem, or is used for any particular purpose. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the longitudinal dimension or radial dimensions as taught by Burns or the claimed longitudinal dimension or radial dimension in claim(s) 3,5,6 because both longitudinal and radial dimensions still function to attach or bond the tubular elements together and provide separate lumens. Regarding claims 7,8, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the spacing between the secured portion and balloon's proximal end, since applicant has not disclosed that using a range up to about 3mm provides any advantage, or solves a stated problem, or is used for any particular purpose. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/747,817

Art Unit: 3738

invention to perform equally well with the spacing taught by Burns or the claimed range up to 1mm or up to 3mm in claim(s) 7,8 because both spacings perform the same function of providing a separation of the inflation area and expansion area.

Claims 13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns '201 in view of Muni et al. (5533968). Burns is explained supra. However, Burns does not disclose the outer and inner tubular members are made from different materials. Muni et al. teach that outer and inner tubular members of a catheter are made of different material and bonded by heat, col. 11, lines 16-32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use different materials for the tubular members of the catheter as taught by Muni in the balloon catheter of Burns such that a stronger bond may be achieved.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns '201 in view of Brown (6096056). Burns is explained supra. However, Burns does not disclose the intermediate section of the balloon has a stent. Brown teaches (Fig. 3) a stent 18 on the intermediate portion 16 of the balloon 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a stent in the intermediate region as taught by Brown in the balloon catheter of Burns in order to deliver a support structure to maintain the vessel lumen open.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,817

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Pellegrino whose telephone number is (703) 306-5899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8am to 5:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

TC 3700, AU 3738

Brian E. Pellegrino

Brian & Pellogrino